President.—He was the Fishery Inspector, and though that post was abolished, you have to find a place for him. Although he had to be kept on acting appointments for some time for want of a vacancy, he should have been given a permanent place at the earliest opportunity on the same pay. Why was not this given to him, although vacancies arose? This is the question asked now.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—I shall not take any more time, as the Hon'ble Member for Cranganur wants to have his say.

Mr. N. Padmanabha Menon.—According to Standing Orders 11 and 18 and C. S. R. 187, 188 and 223, if the Government gives an assurance that his case will be favourably considered, I shall not ask any supplementary questions.

President.—What do these rules relate to?

Mr. N. Padmanabha Menon.—If Mr. Anantarama Ayyar had been given a post in conformity with S. O. 11 and 18, he would have drawn a pension of Rs. 75. If he is retiring in Makaram, he will be entitled only to a pension of Rs. 40. Mr. Anantarama Ayyar is a person who was serving the Government all along with credit. In the answer to question No. 207 the Government says "The Government do not concur in the view that Mr. Anantarama Ayyar was treated in a unique manner". By the discussion here, I believe that the phase of the question alters.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Another peculiar feature is that in one notification the pay of the officer will be mentioned, and in another it is omitted. It is indeed a pity that he is thus singled out. A man who was getting Rs. 150 is now getting Rs. 80. This is indeed a sad irony of fate, and let the world understand this.

183. **Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.**—Will the Government be pleased to state.—

i. when did Mr. M. P. Antony, Deputy Superintendent of Malippuram, retire from State service;

ii. who was appointed in that permanent vacancy;

iii. was the new incumbent senior to Mr. Anantarama Ayyar;

iv. had Mr. Anantarama Ayyar any permanent appointment when the Deputy Superintendent's place was filled up;

v. was the vacancy not pointed out and brought to the notice of the Diwan in Mr. Anantarama Ayyar's petition of 3rd Karkadagam 1097;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

vi. why were his claims over-looked then ?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—i. On 6th Kanni 1098.

ii. Mr. A. Sankunni Menon.

iii. Yes.

iv. None.

v. Yes.

vi. Vide answer to question 182 (a) and answer to sub-division (iii) above.

184. **Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.**—i. Was Mr. Anantarama Ayyar provided with any permanent appointment after his re-entertainment in service ;

ii. if so, what and on what pay ;

iii. has he ever worked in that department previously;

iv. did he join the new appointment and work there ;

v. if not, why ;

vi. was there any charge against Mr. Anantarama Ayyar for his being appointed to a post on Rs. 80 which is less by Rs. 70 from his last acting appointment ?

Mr. A. K. Vengu Ayyar.—i. Yes.

ii. An auditor's place in the Comptroller's Office on Rs 80.

iii. No, save for a brief period of 6 weeks when he was undergoing training in Accounts.

iv. No.

v. The Government cannot say.

vi. The query as it stands presumes a statement of facts which is not correct, and hence cannot be answered.

185. **Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.**—Will the Government be pleased to state.—

i. was not the Fishery Inspector's post abolished with effect from 1st Dhanu 1097;

ii. who was the permanent incumbent of that post then, and what was the permanent pay of that post;

iii. what appointment was he holding at the time of its abolition and on what pay;

iv. did the permanent Fishery Inspector submit a petition to the Diwan on the 11th of Vrischigam 1097 ;

v. will the Government be pleased to place on the table of the House a copy of the Diwan's reply thereon;

vi. was the promise held out in that order fulfilled afterwards, when vacancies occurred?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly